Stevens et al.

## Appendix A. Anthropogenic nitrogen deposition predicts local grassland primary production worldwide: Description of hierarchical modeling process.

Carly J. Stevens<sup>†1</sup>, Eric M. Lind<sup>†2</sup>, Yann Hautier<sup>2,3</sup>, W. Stanley Harpole<sup>4,5,6,7</sup>, Elizabeth T. Borer<sup>2</sup>, Sarah Hobbie<sup>2</sup>, Eric W. Seabloom<sup>2</sup>, Laura Ladwig<sup>8</sup>, Jonathan D. Bakker<sup>9</sup>, Chengjin Chu<sup>10</sup>, Scott Collins<sup>8</sup>, Kendi F. Davies<sup>11</sup>, Jennifer Firn<sup>12</sup>, Helmut Hillebrand<sup>13</sup>, Kimberly J. La Pierre<sup>14</sup>, Andrew MacDougall<sup>15</sup>, Brett Melbourne<sup>11</sup>, Rebecca L. McCulley<sup>16</sup>, John Morgan<sup>17</sup>, John L. Orrock<sup>18</sup>, Suzanne M. Prober<sup>19</sup>, Anita C. Risch<sup>20</sup>, Martin Schuetz<sup>20</sup>, Peter D. Wragg<sup>2</sup>

<sup>†</sup> These authors contributed equally to this work

<sup>1</sup>Lancaster Environment Centre, Lancaster University, Lancaster, LA1 4YQ, UK

<sup>2</sup>Department of Ecology, Evolution, and Behavior, University of Minnesota, St. Paul, MN 55108 USA

<sup>3</sup>Department of Plant Sciences, University of Oxford, South Parks Road, Oxford, OX1 3RB, UK <sup>4</sup>Department of Ecology, Evolution and Organismal Biology, Iowa State University, Ames, IA 50011 USA

<sup>5</sup>German Centre for Integrative Biodiversity Research (iDiv) Halle-Jena-Leipzig, Deutscher Platz 5e, D-04103 Leipzig, Germany

<sup>6</sup>Department of Physiological Diversity, Helmholtz Center for Environmental Research – UFZ, Permoserstr. 15, 04318 Leipzig, Germany

<sup>7</sup>Institute of Biology, Martin Luther University Halle-Wittenberg, Am Kirchtor 1, 06108 Halle (Saale), Germany

<sup>8</sup>Department of Biology, University of New Mexico, Albuquerque, NM 87131 USA

<sup>9</sup>School of Environmental and Forest Sciences, University of Washington, Box 354115, Seattle, WA 98195-4115, USA

<sup>10</sup>State Key Laboratory of Grassland and Agro-Ecosystems, Research Station of Alpine Meadow and Wetland Ecosystems, School of Life Sciences, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou 730000, China <sup>11</sup>Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of Colorado, Boulder, CO 80309, USA

<sup>12</sup>School of Earth, Environmental and Biological Sciences, Queensland University of Technology, Brisbane Qld, 4001, Australia

<sup>13</sup>Institute for Chemistry and Biology of the Marine Environment, Carl-von Ossietzky University Oldenburg, Germany

<sup>14</sup>Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, Yale University, New Haven, CT 06511, USA

<sup>15</sup>Department of Integrative Biology, University of Guelph, Guelph, Ontario N1G 2W1, Canada
<sup>16</sup>Department of Plant & Soil Sciences, University of Kentucky, Lexington, KY 40546-0091,

USA

<sup>17</sup>Department of Botany, La Trobe University, Bundoora 3086, Victoria, Australia

<sup>18</sup>Department of Zoology, University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI 53704, USA

<sup>19</sup>CSIRO Land and Water Flagship and the Great Western Woodlands Supersite, Private Bag 5,

Wembley WA 6913, Australia

<sup>20</sup>Swiss Federal Institute for Forest, Snow and Landscape Research, Birmensdorf 8903, Switzerland

## Stevens et al.

The hierarchical model predicting plot-level aboveground biomass was constructed by combining plot-level and site-level predictors as follows. The natural log of the live biomass harvested at peak standing crop (an estimate of aboveground annual productivity) was the response variable, and it was modeled for each plot *i* as

$$\ln(ANPP) \sim N(\mu_i, \sigma_{plot}^2)$$
 (Eq. A.1)

that is, a stochastic variable with expected value  $\mu_i$  and a normally distributed plot error term. This plot expectation  $\mu_i$  was derived from a site-level intercept (the modeled mean ANPP at a site), and plot-level response to edaphic conditions, which slopes could vary by site.

$$\mu_i = \alpha_{sits} + \beta_{N_{sits}} soilN_i + \beta_{P_{sits}} soilP_i + \beta_{C_{sits}} soilC_i + \beta_{pH_{sits}} soilPH_i \quad (Eq. A.2)$$

In matrix form,

$$\mu_i = \mathbf{B}_{site} \mathbf{X}_{plot} + \Sigma_B \tag{Eq. A.3}$$

Where *B* is the vector of an intercept plus slopes depicting response to edaphic conditions, *X* is the vector of observed soil chemistry, and  $\Sigma_B$  contains the variance-covariance matrix. This matrix allows for group-level correlation among plot-level coefficients, in other words, for the expectation that variation in response to edaphic conditions is correlated within sites. We utilized a scaled inverse-Wishart model to satisfy the constraint that this matrix be positive definite (Gelman and Hill, 2007 pp377-380). To include the site-level predictors, we modeled the full matrix  $\mathbf{B}$  of plot-level responses as deriving from a multivariate normal distribution with the same variance-covariance matrix:

$$\mathbf{B} \sim N(\widehat{\mathbf{B}}, \Sigma_B)$$
 (Eq. A.4)

where  $\hat{B}$  is the (site x plot predictor) matrix of the expected intercept and edaphic parameters, which are in turn predicted by site-level climate, elevation, and N deposition. The intercept, or mean productivity of the site, is thus:

$$\widehat{B}_{[site,1]} = \widehat{A} + \gamma_{Ndep} Ndep_{site} + \gamma_{MAT} MAT_{site} + \gamma_{MAP} MAP_{site} + \gamma_{PET} PET_{site} + \gamma_{elev} elev_{site}$$
(Eq. A.5)

where  $\hat{A}$  represents the global mean productivity. In this case there is only one estimated (global) slope of response to each of N deposition, climatic factors and elevation. In matrix form,

$$\mathbf{\hat{B}} = \mathbf{GU} \tag{Eq. A.6}$$

where **G** is the matrix of influences of the global intercept and five site-level parameters on the site intercept and four plot-level parameters, and **U** is the matrix of site climate, N deposition, and elevation values. From this notation it is clear the site-level predictors impact not only the site intercept or mean productivity, but the slopes of response of productivity to edaphic conditions, which makes sense biologically. These latter terms can be conceived of as

"interactions" in the multiple regression sense—e.g. how does the plot-level response to soil pH change over the range of mean annual temperature or N deposition?

Finally, each of the elements in **G** was modeled as a stochastic variable drawn from a normal distribution using an uninformative prior with mean zero and high variance:

$$g_{k,l} \sim N(0, \sigma_{prior}^2)$$
 (Eq. A.7)

with  $\sigma^2_{prior} = 10^5$ .

R code to implement the model can be found in supplementary materials.

LITERATURE CITED

Gelman, A., and J. Hill. 2007. Data analysis using regression and multilevel/hierarchical models. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge ; New York.