Frederick II of Hohenstaufen: Amateur Avian Ecologist and Behaviorist

It is possible to survey ecological aspects of natural history in the Latin West from late Antiquity to the early Middle Ages in a way similar to my surveys on Byzantine and Arabic natural history (Egerton 2002a, b, c). Glacken (1967, Part II) provides a guide for an ecological survey of Latin natural history. It was generally less sophisticated than contemporary Byzantine and Arabic writings, and only became more sophisticated after those foreign writings were being translated into Latin (Haskins 1927, Lindberg 1978). Rather than survey here the relevant Latin writings from late Antiquity and the early Middle Ages, it seems more interesting to consider a West European example of what was built upon Byzantine and Arabic legacies.

A remarkable example is the De Arte Venandi cum Avibus (The Art of Hunting with Birds), written in the 1240s by the King of Sicily and southern Italy and the Emperor of the Holy Roman Empire. In English, he is called Frederick II, although he never answered to that name (unless that form was used by his third queen, who came from England). He was raised in Sicily, where he was called Federico; during his 8-year stay in Germany, he was called Friedrich; and in government documents, his name appeared in Latin as Fredericus or Federicus. He was born in 1194, and his father, Emperor Heinrich VI, died in 1197, and his mother, Queen Constance of Sicily, died in 1198 after appointing Pope Innocent III as his guardian. Constance’s father was Roger II, who had a strong interest in geography and gathered scholars from diverse places at his court. That intellectually stimulating court had not been maintained after Roger’s death in 1154, but the memory lingered, and Frederick recreated it on a grander scale (Haskins 1927:242–271, Van Cleve 1972:Plates 12–13, Tronzo 1994, Mariani and Cassano 1995) where he hunted with trained falcons. In the “General Prologue” to De Arte Venandi cum Avibus, he tells us (Frederick II of Hohenstaufen 1943:3) that he only began writing his treatise after contemplating doing so for 30 years. Haskins thinks he began writing it about 1244, which means that he was already a somewhat experienced falconer at age 20 (Haskins 1927:310–311).

Salimbene’s lament seems rather restrained, considering that Frederick spent much of his career struggling against the Papacy—which feared he might try to unite his German empire with his south Italian kingdom—and that Frederick was excommunicated twice. Frederick’s favorite relaxation from affairs of state was to retreat to one of his hunting-lodge palaces (three of which survive, as does his castle at Lucera [Frederick II of Hohenstaufen 1943:xliv, xcii–cx, Van Cleve 1972:Plates 12–13, Tronzo 1994, Mariani and Cassano 1995]) where he hunted with trained falcons. In the “General Prologue” to De Arte Venandi cum Avibus, he tells us (Frederick II of Hohenstaufen 1943:3) that he only began writing his treatise after contemplating doing so for 30 years. Haskins thinks he began writing it about 1244, which means that he was already a somewhat experienced falconer at age 20 (Haskins 1927:310–311).

Falconry arose in Mesopotamia (Reiter 1988–1989); our earliest evidence comes from the reign of Sargon II (reigned 722–705 BC). There is little indication of its practice in Europe, however, until the AD 400s, when the Huns and Alans invaded from the east and perhaps intro-
duced the sport (Epstein 1943:505–509). Manuals on falconry also came first from the East, and Frederick’s location in Sicily gave him an awareness of them (Zahlten 1970:52–54). He also gained firsthand knowledge of Arabic falconry during his crusade to the Holy Land, June 1228–June 1229 (not counted by historians as an official crusade because he negotiated his objectives instead of fighting for them). He obtained, then or later, a copy of Moamin’s manual on falconry and had Theodore of Antioch translate it from Arabic into Latin; Frederick made corrections to the translation in 1241. Moamin’s manual survives in French translation from the Latin, made for Frederick’s son, Enzio (Tjerneld 1945).

Knowledge of falconry consists of hunting technology and applied avian biology. Aside from naming and describing hawks used in falconry, all of the manuals before Frederick’s were limited to hunting technology—how to train and manage falcons (Van den Abeele 1994:45–91). This subject occupies most of Frederick’s manual as well, but he was well equipped by education and intellect to go further and investigate the biology of both predators and prey. The result has been called “one of the most remarkable productions of the Middle Ages” (Singer 1982:262). How did he do it?

The intellectuals whom Frederick gathered at Palermo included Michael Scot (pre-1200–c.1236; Minio-Paluello 1974), who was an influential author and translator. He traveled from his native Scotland to Toledo by 1217, where by 1220 he had translated from Arabic Aristotle’s Historia Animalium, De Partibus Animalium, and De generatione Animalium (Thorndike 1965:24, van Oppenraay 1999). He then traveled to Bologna and later attracted the interest of two popes. He was at Frederick’s court by 1227, if not before, and remained there until his death. Michael Scot had a strong interest in astrology, wrote on it, and interested Frederick in it. At Frederick’s request, he translated from Arabic Ibn Sina’s Abbreviatio de Animalibus, an abridgement of Aristotelian zoology with Ibn Sina’s commentary, which he finished by 1232. Most likely this was part of Frederick’s preparation for writing his own book. However, Frederick cited few authorities besides Aristotle, with whom he frequently disagreed, because Aristotle did not know falconry and he relied on the reports of others (Frederick II of Hohenstaufen 1942:2000), as well as the English translation.

The 12 manuscript copies of Frederick’s book have illustrations, although not the same number and not identical (Frederick II of Hohenstaufen 1943: liii–lxxxvii). Yapp (1983) studied the colored marginal drawings in the facsimile publication of the Vatican manuscript of De Arte Venandi cum Avibus (Frederick II of Hohenstaufen [1969]; Henss [1970:465] counted 915 drawings of birds and 48 drawings of other animals in this edition). Yapp found that although the drawings do illustrate points made in the text, the birds depicted are not of definite species; they are generic ducks, geese, and so on. Yapp doubts that they were drawn during Frederick’s lifetime, but perhaps shortly thereafter.

Frederick’s Book I on the natural history of birds is similar in organization to the Historia Animalium of Aristotle, except for its more limited scope. In Aristotelian zoology, facts were collected and organized to serve as the basis for generalizations, and generalizations were organized to explain how nature works. At times the results were impressive (Bodson 1996), but there was no way to know whether one had enough facts to support a given generalization. In practice, whatever facts were available seemed sufficient. Frederick had two advantages in playing this
game: first, by limiting his study to birds, he could better master his subject, and second, he actively collected facts while hunting and engaging in other activities and was seldom dependent upon others for information. He developed a general interest in avian biology, but also had a specific interest in birds as either trained hunters or as prey for his falcons. His general and specific interests reinforced each other. He began with a discussion of two ways to classify birds: (1) aquatic, land, and neutral, the latter meaning birds that are on both land and water; and (2) raptorial and nonraptorial (I, 2–3). He discussed the daily habits of different kinds of birds under these categories. The anatomy and physiology of a species were its adaptations for its environment and did not seem to require explanations. However, it was useful to make generalizations about particular groups in order to be able to anticipate their behavior.

Aristotle had claimed that birds that are limited in flight are to an equal extent good pedestrians, but Frederick observed that cormorants do not fly with ease and are even worse at walking. Frederick’s son, Manfred, annotated his father’s manuscript, and in this case added that their adaptation for swimming makes cormorants awkward on land and in the air (I, 4). Frederick’s own generalizations included the facts that: (1) certain birds, such as swans and pelicans, swim and fly well, yet rarely leave the water; and (2) rails and their kin neither swim nor fly well, yet are true water birds. Some bird habits seemed inherent, but nevertheless were susceptible to environmental influences. For example, many aquatic birds depart at dawn for their feeding places and return at the third hour [9 am], but may return earlier on a hot day and remain feeding longer if it is cool or cloudy. Yet ducks, teal, and similar birds do not feed at particular times but at all hours (I, 5). Waterfowl return home during the day while they can see otters, foxes, and raptors. They stay in water at night to avoid otters, foxes, and wolves (I, 7). Waterfowl vary their feeding grounds according to season and the ease or difficulty of avoiding birds of prey. They prefer pastureage during the rainy days of September–November when rain dislodges seeds and when worms come to the surface of the ground to escape saturated soil (I, 6). Frederick explained why owls hunt at night (I, 15-A):

Other species go out at night to avoid diurnal rapacious birds and other animals that would harm them.

“Certain land birds take their food on the wing,” such as swallows and siskins (I, 9). He observed them eating flies, beetles, bees, wasps, and other insects, but he thought that while aloft they did not swallow those that sting. For such insects, they land and remove the sting first. He divided carnivorous land birds into three groups according to feeding habits: (1) vultures and lammergeiers do not kill their food but eat carrion; (2) kites and common eagles prefer to eat dead animals but sometimes do kill for food; and (3) true falcons and hawks devour only what they kill and never eat carrion. He determined that vultures can only find food by sight and not by smell by sealing their eyes (undoubtedly by suturing) and placing food nearby which they did not find (I, 10). Experimentation was very rare in natural history during antiquity and the Middle Ages, and Frederick probably experimented in this case because he was used to manipulating hawks during training and hunting.

Because we know that Frederick experimented on vultures, Salimbene’s stories of his experiments on humans may also be true, although no one can vouch for Salimbene’s sources. If, indeed, Frederick had infants raised in silence to discover what language they would speak, he was repeating an inconclusive experiment conducted by an Egyptian pharaoh as reported by Herodotos (II, 2). The other experiments certainly reflect Frederick’s known interest in physiology and medicine: he had a man shut up in a cask to see whether his soul could be detected when he died; to discover how deep a man can dive, he had a diver retrieve objects at progressively greater depths until he drowned; to learn whether one should relax or exercise after eating (Salimbene 1907:242–243):

he fed two men most excellently at dinner, one of whom he sent forthwith to sleep, and the other to hunt; and that
same evening he caused them to be disembowelled in his presence, wishing to know which had digested the better: and it was judged by the physicians in favour of him who had slept.

Frederick did execute the alleged enemies of church and state; perhaps a few humans sacrificed for science did not seem very different, especially if men condemned to execution were the subjects.

There is much more of what we could call avian ecology in Book I of De Arte Venandi cum Avibus than can be discussed here. Equally remarkable, however, is Frederick’s account of training falcons to hunt with humans and dogs. In this discussion, he was indebted to earlier manuals, such as the one by Moamin (Tjerneld 1945), to his extensive discussions with other falconers (he had about 50 on his staff), and to his own experience. Although this lore came much more from trial and error than from planned experiments, it is nevertheless remarkably sophisticated. Tame falcons used for hunting were not raised in captivity but were captured wild. An impatient or careless handler could render a captive hawk untrainable with improper treatment (II, 47). Training was done using positive reinforcement (food and stroking) and deprivation (lack of food and sight), but without punishment. Mountjoy (1976:110–111) has rephrased Frederick’s instructions for training falcons in behaviorist terminology:

The process of manning the newly captured wild falcon (that is, taming it so that it sat quietly upon the fist of the falconer and ate) was carried out in the mews while the falcon’s [eyes] remained sealed. This process of manning combined not only Pavlovian pairing of stimuli but also operant shaping and the principle of stimulus fading as well.

* * *

At the beginning of the process of manning, meat was rubbed on the bird’s beak to elicit the response of eating. The falconer continued to apply the principles of Pavlovian conditioning by softly producing the sound which would later be used to recall the falcon to the falconer. In time this vocalization of the falconer became a discriminative stimulus, . . . a signal to eat. The discriminative stimulus was gradually conditioned to a functional state by presenting the call and requiring that the falcon attend to the meat within a brief time or meat was withheld. The latency requirement, or contingency, was gradually tightened until a discriminated operant was performed . . . first meat would be available for perhaps 10 or 15 seconds after presentation of the vocalization, and then withdrawn if the desired response was not forthcoming. When the bird reliably responded within the time interval, the interval was gradually shortened.

Frederick even trained falcons to hunt cranes and herons, which they do not normally attack in the wild because they are large enough to be dangerous to falcons. He and his trainers achieved this by training a pair of falcons to hunt together, along with their trainers (Books III–V).
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